

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/664,338	09/18/2000	Erich Hell	P00,1732	3969	
75	90 04/09/2003				
Schiff Hardin & Waite			EXAMINER		
Atten Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower			KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
Chicago, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>(M</del>				
Office Action Summary		09/664,338	HELL ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo		/ IO OFT TO EVENE - MONTH	I(0)					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro acuse the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this committed  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	<u>-ebruary 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· _	ion of Claims	oo lo dha anallaadha						
4)[🖂	Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,8,10-17 and 19-21</u> is/are pendi							
<b>E</b> \_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,8,10-17 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.							
<i>′</i> =	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
•	ion Papers	r ciccuon requirement.						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No					
* <u>c</u>	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		oplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
ر ااردا Attachmen	•	5 priority under 55 5.0.0. 33 12	anaroi 121.					
1) 🛭 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-1					

Art Unit: 2882

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 10-17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US patent 5313512) in view of Kroener (US patent 4866743) and Burke et al. (US Patent 5299249) and Deucher et al. (US patent 5610968).
- 2. With regards to independent claims 8, 17, and 20, Tanaka discloses a computed tomography arrangement having an x-ray source (Fig. 7, #1) which is rotatable around a rotational axis (col. 4, lines 30-32) and a detector mounted opposite each other (Fig. 7, "D"), the improvement of a cooling arrangement for said x-ray source comprising a first heat exchanger (Fig. 6 and 7) having at least two heat exchange elements (Fig. 6, #2a and 2b), wherein said heat exchanger is rotatable around said rotational axis (col. 4, lines 32-33).

However, Tanaka does not disclose a gantry, a first annual heat exchanger, and a second annularly disposed heat exchanger in a thermally conductive annular path with the first heat exchanger and a flow path with medium for transferring heat from a first heat exchanger, said second heat exchanger being stationary relative to said first heat exchanger, nor a thermally conductive annular path between the heat exchangers.

Art Unit: 2882

Kroener teaches a gantry (Fig 1, #3), a second annularly (Fig. 1, #9 and 27) exterior heat exchanger in a thermally conductive annular path with the first heat exchanger (col. 3, lines 45-47) and a flow path with medium for transferring heat from a first heat exchanger (col. 4, lines 8-11), said second heat exchanger being stationary relative to said first heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #24 and 25 connected to #2). Burke et al. teaches an annular heat exchanger (col. 3, lines 55-65). Deucher et al. teaches a thermally conductive annular path between the heat exchangers (Fig. 4, slits above #54).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the second ring-like heat exchanger components and gantry of Kroener with the device of Tanaka, since one would be motivated to have a gantry to mount the source, detector and heat exchangers onto while rotating these objects around the subject as shown by Kroener (Fig. 1). Secondly, one would be motivated to have a second heat exchanger components to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have an annular heat exchanger of Burke et al. with the device of Tanaka, since one would be motivated to the heat exchanger to transfer heat generated during the generation of x-ray to another cooling apparatus as implied from Burke et al. (col. 3, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have a second annularly disposed heat exchanger with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener and Burke et al., since duplication of essential working parts of a device only involves routine skill in the art. Furthermore, Kroener teaches a second

Art Unit: 2882

heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #27). Burke et al. teaches an annular heat exchanger (Fig. 1, C). These heat exchangers are considered art-recognized equivalents in that they both are used in cooling down x-ray systems. It would have been within routine skill in the art to substitute the annularly disposed heat exchanger of Burke et al. for the second heat exchanger of Kroener. One would be motivated to use an annular heat exchanger to transfer heat generated by x-rays to another cooling apparatus as implied from Burke et al. (Fig. 1, C to D) and Kroener (Fig. 1, #27 to 35).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the annular path of Deucher et al. with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener and Burke et al., since one would be motivated to remove excess heat as shown by Deucher et al. (col. 5, lines 8-13) to remove waste heat (col. 1, lines 25-26).

- 3. With regards to claim 3, Tanaka further discloses the first heat exchanger with at least one heat exchange element (Fig. 11).
- 4. With regards to claim 4, Tanaka further discloses the first heat exchanger with a flow path (Fig. 6, #3) and flowing medium (col. 4, lines 25-29).
- 5. With regards to claim 6, Tanaka further discloses the first heat exchanger having at least two heat exchange elements (Fig. 6, #2a and 2b) and a covering proceeding circumferentially around said rotational axis and disposed between said at least two heat exchange elements (Fig. 6 and 7, #3).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,338

Art Unit: 2882

6. With regards to claim 10, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose a second annularly disposed heat exchanger.

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have a second annularly disposed heat exchanger with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since duplication of essential working parts of a device only involves routine skill in the art. Furthermore, Kroener teaches a second heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #27). Burke et al. teaches an annular heat exchanger (Fig. 1, C). These heat exchangers are considered art-recognized equivalents in that they both are used in cooling down x-ray systems. It would have been within routine skill in the art to substitute the annularly disposed heat exchanger of Burke et al. for the second heat exchanger of Kroener. One would be motivated to use an annular heat exchanger to transfer heat generated by x-rays to another cooling apparatus as implied from Burke et al. (Fig. 1, C to D) and Kroener (Fig. 1, #27 to 35).

7. With regards to claim 11, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose wherein a second heat exchanger is disposed axially offset, along said rotational axis from said first heat exchanger.

Kroener further teaches wherein said second heat exchanger is disposed axially offset, along said rotational axis from said first heat exchanger and is attached to first heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #9 and 27).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,338

Art Unit: 2882

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the second heat exchanger disposed axially offset and attached to the first heat exchanger of Kroener with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since rearrangement of parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. One would be motivated to have a second heat exchanger attached to the first heat exchanger to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

8. With regards to claim 12, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose wherein a second heat exchanger comprises at least one heat exchange element.

Kroener further teaches at least one heat exchange element in the second heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #27).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have at least one heat exchange element of Kroener with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to have at least one heat exchange element attached to the first heat exchanger to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

9. With regards to claim 13, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,338

Art Unit: 2882

However, Tanaka does not disclose wherein a second heat exchanger comprises at least two heat exchange elements connected.

Kroener further teaches at least two heat exchange elements in the second heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #27).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have at least two heat exchange element of Kroener with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to have the heat exchange elements attached to the first heat exchanger to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

10. With regards to claim 14, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose further comprising a covering proceeding circumferentially around said rotational axis and disposed between said at least two heat exchange elements of said second heat exchanger.

Kroener teaches further comprising a covering proceeding circumferentially around said rotational axis and disposed between said at least two heat exchange elements of said second heat exchanger (Fig. 1, #29).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the coverings of Kroener with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to use the

Art Unit: 2882

coverings for moving mediums transferring heat from heat exchange elements attached to the first heat exchanger to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

11. With regards to claim 15, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose further a heat transfer medium flowing through the second heat exchanger in a flow path.

Kroener teaches a heat transfer medium flowing through the second heat exchanger in a flow path (Fig. 1, #24, 25, 28, and 29).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the heat transfer medium of Kroener with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to use moving mediums transferring heat from heat exchange elements attached to the first heat exchanger to intensify the cooling effect as shown by Kroener (col. 2, lines 26-35).

12. With regards to claims 16, 19, and 21, Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al. suggests a system as recited above.

However, Tanaka does not disclose a first heat exchanger rotatable to generate an airstream guided by a plurality of inter-engaging annular guide devices.

Deucher et al. further teaches a first heat exchanger rotatable to generate an airstream guided by an annular guide device (col. 5, lines 9-24). Burke et al. further teaches a plurality of inter-engaging annular guide devices (Fig. 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,338

Art Unit: 2882

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the rotatable heat exchanger to generate air guided by an annular device of Deucher et al. with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to use it to remove excess heat which may cause damage as implied from Deucher et al. (col. 5, lines 10-25).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have a plurality of inter-engaging annular devices of Burke et al. with the suggested device of Tanaka in view of Kroener, Burke et al., and Deucher et al., since one would be motivated to use it to remove excess heat by conducting a tortuous air flow and conserve space as implied by Burke et al. (col. 5, lines 50-60).

### Allowable Subject Matter

13. The indicated allowability of claims 16, 19, and 21 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Burke et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are as recited above.

## Response to Arguments

- 14. The objections to the claims have been withdrawn in light of the amendment filed 2/19/03.
- 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 10-17, and 19-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2882

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (703) 605-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th (8 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

gk

April 1, 2003

DAVID V. BRUCE PRIMARY EXAMINER